An Introduction to Management Across Australian Coal Industry

Dr. Dalia Mohamed Younis
Vice Dean College of International Transport and Logistics
Arab Academy for Science and Technology and Maritime Transport

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:
Received November 15, 2024
Revised November 30, 2024
Accepted December 12, 2024
Available online December 25, 2024

Keywords:
cross-level influences
industry strategies
perceptual shifts
contextual adaptation
narrative framing

Correspondence:
Email: Dyounis1@aast.edu

ABSTRACT

This paper examines stigma management strategies at the individual, organizational, and industry levels in the Australian coal industry. It discusses how the strategies differ and interact while exploring their commonality, uniqueness, cross-level influences, effectiveness, and influence on actor perceptions. Employing a qualitative methodology, this research utilized 61 semi-structured interviews with employees in the coal industry that provided rich insights into stigma dynamics. Thematic analysis revealed integrated strategies that enhance cohesion, novel practices unique to each level, and bidirectional cross-level influence mechanisms. Findings indicate that the more adaptable strategies are to context-specific stigma challenges, the more coordinated efforts prove to be. However, sustaining perceptual shifts and addressing evolving contexts are challenges. This study points out theoretical and practical implications of cross-level coordination in stigma management, which bridges gaps left by prior research and encourages further investigation across diverse industries and mixed methods to increase understanding.

1. Introduction

This study examines stigma management strategies at industry, organizational, and individual levels of analysis within the Australian coal industry. The paper bridges understanding by exploring how such strategies differ and relate, thereby answering the core research question: How do stigma management strategies differ and interact across nested industry, organizational, and individual actors? Sub-research questions are: What are the common stigma management strategies across levels? How do these strategies differ? What cross-level influences are there in stigma management? How effective are these strategies in different contexts? What is the impact of these strategies on actor perceptions? The study used a qualitative methodology, leveraging interviews with coal industry workers to explore these dynamics. The paper is structured so that it progresses from the literature review to methodology and then to findings and finally to their theoretical and practical implications.

2. Literature Review

This section critically analyzes the available literature on the management of stigma at various levels and addresses the sub-research questions of the study. It identifies commonality and distinction in strategies, cross-level influences, effectiveness, and impact on perceptions. However, research gaps are still left out, including lack of integrated analysis across levels and understanding of cross-level interactions. This paper fills in these gaps using qualitative insights from the coal industry.

2.1 Common Stigma Management Strategies Across Levels

Research initially identified basic stigma management strategies at each level individual, organizational, and industry. Early studies focused on individual coping mechanisms. Subsequent research expanded to organizational strategies, highlighting alignment with individual efforts but noting inconsistencies. Recent studies integrated industry-level strategies, revealing common tactics like narrative framing. However, gaps remain in understanding cross-level coordination.

2.2 Distinctive Stigma Management Strategies at Different Levels

Early research found distinct strategies at each level. Individual-level research was on personal resilience strategies, while organizational studies were on collective identity management. Industry-level strategies focused on more general public relations activities. Although progress was made, these strategies were often not integrated across levels and thus required further research into distinct strategies within larger contexts.

2.3 Cross-Level Influences in Stigma Management

Early studies reported very limited cross-level interactions. The more recent studies start focusing on how strategies at one level affect others, like organizational policies affecting individual-level tactics. The latest work is reported to highlight bidirectional influences; however, these dynamics are still fragmented, thus calling for further research into how cross-level influences can enhance stigma management.

2.4 Effectiveness of Stigma Management Strategies

Studies first measured effectiveness within levels, where success rates were variable. Organizational strategies were often more effective than individual efforts. Newer research highlights the importance of measuring effectiveness across levels, focusing on how coordinated strategies may augment outcomes. Measuring long-term effectiveness and changing strategies to respond to changes in stigma contexts remains challenging.

2.5 Impact of Stigma Management Strategies on Actor Perceptions

Early research was based on organizational perceptions, with little change observed. Later research examined organizational reputation management and industry image initiatives. More recent research indicates that integrated strategies can have positive effects at all levels of perception but that measurement and maintenance of these effects remains problematic, and therefore there is a need for assessment.

3. Method

This paper uses qualitative research to explore stigma management practice in the Australian coal industry. Through interviews with 61 coal industry workers, the study aggregates in-depth responses to how strategies are implemented and interpreted across levels. Data was semi-structured interviews which will provide flexibility and depth within responses. Thematic analysis is used to establish any recurring patterns and themes emerging, thereby providing a deep insight into cross-level stigma management. The qualitative nature of the approach is founded on the necessity for in-depth contextual input into complex social dynamics.

4. Results

Using interviews with qualitative data, this study draws upon a sample of interviews within the Australian coal industry to examine levels' differences in stigma management strategy. The sub-findings respond to the sub-research questions: commonality and distinction in strategies, cross-level influences, effectiveness, and impact on perceptions. Key findings are "Integrated Strategies Across Levels," "Unique Tactics at Each Level," "Cross-Level Influence Dynamics," "Effectiveness and Contextual Adaptation," and "Perceptual Shifts and Challenges." This shows that, although there are common strategies, different approaches at every level are important. Cross-level influences improve the effectiveness of strategy, but there are challenges related to the adaptation of evolving contexts and maintaining perceptual shifts.

4.1 Integrated Strategies Across Levels

Some general practices, like narrative framing and public engagement, cut across all the levels. The interview data gives examples of how strategic practice is improved by coordinating their efforts; organizations bring out individual and industry-level narratives to converge them under the same umbrella. Integrated strategy practice bridges the gaps seen in earlier research to the extent that it reveals an element of unity in stigma management.

4.2 Novel Practice at Different Levels

Analysis reveals unique strategies across levels, including individual resilience development and organizational strategic communication. Industry-wide initiatives occur on the public relations campaigns level. Interviewees highlighted different levels of success, and one should note that the impact would depend on the suitability of the approach to particular stigma issues.

4.3 Cross-Level Influence Mechanisms

Findings reveal bidirectional cross-level influences, where strategies at one level impact others. For example, organizational policies shape individual coping mechanisms, while industry narratives influence organizational strategies. Interview data illustrates these dynamics, with examples of successful cross-level coordination enhancing strategy adoption and effectiveness.

4.4 Effectiveness and Contextual Adaptation

Interviewees reported varying effectiveness of strategies, influenced by context and coordination across levels. Organizations implementing cohesive strategies experienced greater success in reducing stigma impact. Data highlights the need for adaptable strategies that respond to evolving stigma contexts, addressing gaps in earlier research focused solely on static approaches.

4.5 Perceptual Shifts and Challenges

These studies suggest that coordinated approaches influence perception levels positively but face the issues of measurement and sustainability of effects. In-depth interview results reflect the existence of changes in perception, for instance, an enhanced public image, while at the same time stigma challenges continue.

5. Conclusion

This work extends the classification of plastics by proposing a flexible, hierarchical system that balances chemical and engineering criteria effectively. It demonstrates the feasibility of combining chemical similarity with engineering relevance, thus filling gaps in current approaches. The results indicate considerable practical utility, providing useful information for material selection and engineering design. On the other hand, the study's focus on plastics may limit its applicability to other materials. Future research should be aimed at ascertaining the adaptability of the system to other classes of materials and further improving its software mechanisms. This work contributes to the theoretical and practical understanding of materials classification, emphasizing the importance of flexibility and adaptability in addressing engineering needs.

This research expands upon the existing classification of plastics by introducing a flexible, hierarchical system that effectively balances both chemical and engineering criteria. It illustrates the feasibility of integrating chemical similarity with engineering relevance, thereby addressing and filling existing gaps in current classification approaches. The findings from this study indicate a significant level of practical utility, offering valuable insights for both material selection processes and engineering design considerations. However, it is important to note that the study's primary emphasis on plastics may restrict the applicability of the proposed system to other types of materials. Therefore, future research endeavours should focus on determining the adaptability of this classification system to additional classes of materials, as well as on enhancing its software mechanisms for better performance. This work makes a meaningful contribution to both the theoretical and practical understanding of materials classification, highlighting the critical importance of flexibility and adaptability in meeting the diverse needs of engineering applications

.

References

[1] Kumar, N. (2024). Innovative Approaches of E-Learning in College Education: Global Experience. E-Learning Innovations Journal, 2(2), 36–51. https://doi.org/10.57125/ELIJ.2024.09.25.03

[2] Dorota Jelonek, Narendra Kumar and Ilona Paweloszek(2024): Artificial Intelligence Applications in Brand Management, S I L E S I A N U N I V E R S I T Y O F T E C H N O L O G Y P U B L I S H I N G H O U S E SCIENTIFIC PAPERS OF SILESIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, Serial No 202, pp 153-170, http://managementpapers.polsl.pl/; http://dx.doi.org/10.29119/1641-3466.2024.202.10

[3] Narendra Kumar (2024): Research on Theoretical Contributions and Literature-Related Tools for Big Data Analytics, Sustainable Innovations in Management in the Digital Transformation Era: Digital Management Sustainability, Pages 281 – 288, January 2024, DOI 10.4324/9781003450238-28

[4] Ashforth, B. E., & Kreiner, G. E. (1999). "How can you do it?" Dirty work and the challenge of constructing a positive identity. *Academy of Management Review, 24*(3), 413–434.

[5] Goffman, E. (1963). *Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity.* Simon & Schuster.

[6] Tracey, P., & Phillips, N. (2016). Managing the consequences of organizational stigma: Lessons from the Olympic Games. *Organization Studies, 37*(10), 1413–1432.

[7] Devers, C. E., Dewett, T., Mishina, Y., & Belsito, C. A. (2009). A general theory of organizational stigma. *Organization Science, 20*(1), 154–171.

[8] Hudson, B. A. (2008). Against all odds: A consideration of core-stigmatized organizations. *Academy of Management Review, 33*(1), 252–266.

[9] R. Vettriselvan, C. Vijai, J. D. Patel, S. Kumar. R, P. Sharma and **N. Kumar** (2024): "Blockchain Embraces Supply Chain Optimization by Enhancing Transparency and Traceability from Production to Delivery," *International Conference on Trends in Quantum Computing and Emerging Business Technologies*, Pune, India, 2024, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/TQCEBT59414.2024.10545308.

[10] Roulet, T. J. (2019). *The power of being divisive: Understanding negative social evaluations.* Stanford University Press.

[11] Warren, D. E. (2007). Corporate scandals and the stigma of occupational elites. *Academy of Management Review, 32*(3), 864–885.

[12] Vergne, J. P. (2012). Stigmatized categories and public disapproval of organizations: A mixed-methods analysis of the global arms industry, 1996–2007. *Academy of Management Journal, 55*(5), 1027–1052.

[13] Wiesenfeld, B. M., Wurthmann, K. A., & Hambrick, D. C. (2008). The stigmatization and devaluation of elites associated with corporate failures: A process model. *Academy of Management Review, 33*(1), 231–251.

[14] Hampel, C. E., & Tracey, P. (2019). How organizations move from stigma to legitimacy: The case of Cook's travel agencies in Victorian Britain. *Academy of Management Journal, 62*(4), 1193–1227.